

REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Office Communication under Ex Parte Quayle mailed September 29, 2011. As indicated at page 3 of the Office Action, prosecution on the merits has been closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex Parte Quayle*.

The Examiner indicates that the pending claims are allowable with the exception of three minor formalities. In order to address these formalities, Applicants amend claims 1, 30, and 44 herein. Now new matter is added by these amendments.

Specifically, the Examiner notes that line 16 of claim 1 is terminated with a period. Applicants amend claim 1 so that this line is terminated with a semi-colon, as suggested by the Examiner. See Office Action, page 2.

Further, the Examiner notes that line 12 of claim 30 is terminated with a period and a semicolon. Applicants amend claim 30 to remove this period so that this line is terminated only with a semi-colon, as suggested by the Examiner. See Office Action, page 2.

Finally, the Examiner notes that line 13 of claim 44 is terminated with a period. Applicants amend claim 44 so that this line is terminated with a semi-colon, as suggested by the Examiner. See Office Action, page 2.

After entry of this amendment claims 1, 3-6, 9-10, 12-33, 36, 38-47, 50, and 52-58 are pending in the application, of which claims 1, 30, and 44 are independent.

Applicants believe that the above amendments address the Examiner's concerns. In view of these amendments, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing claim amendments and remarks, Applicants believe that all claims should be passed to allowance. Should the Examiner feel that a teleconference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the Applicants' attorney at (617) 202-4616.

As Applicants' remarks with respect to the Examiner's rejections and/or objections overcome the rejections and/or objections, Applicants' silence as to certain assertions by the Examiner in the Office Action or certain requirements that may be applicable to such rejections and/or objections (e.g., whether a reference constitutes prior art, reasons to modify a reference and/or combine references, assertions as to dependent claims) is not a concession by Applicants that such assertions are accurate or that such requirements have been met, and Applicants reserve the right to dispute these assertions/requirements in the future.

Please charge any shortage or credit any overpayment of fees to our Deposit Account No. 12-0080, under Order No. MWS-104RCE3. In the event that a petition for an extension of time is required to be submitted herewith, and the requisite petition does not accompany this response, the undersigned hereby petitions under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) for an extension of time for as many months as are required to render this submission timely. Any fee due is authorized to be charged to the aforementioned Deposit Account.

Dated: November 22, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Matthew T. Fagan /
Matthew T. Fagan
Registration No. 67,323
Nelson Mullins Riley and Scarborough, LLP
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-2127
(800) 237-2000
(617) 573-4710 (Fax)
Attorney/Agent For Applicants